arXiv:1602.03334v1 [math.AP] 10 Feb 2016

On a variational method for solving a class of p-Kirchhoff type problem

S.H. Rasouli , K. Fallah
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science,
Babol University of Technology, Babol, Iran
e-mail: s.h.rasouli@nit.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper we are concerned with some p-Kirchhoff type problems involving sign-changing weight functions. We prove the existence of multiple positive solutions of the problem via the Nehari manifold approach.

Keywords: p-Kirchhoff type problem; Multiple solutions; Sign-changing weight functions; Nehari manifold.
AMS Subject Classification: 35J50, 35J55, 35J65.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to prove some existence and multiplicity results of solutions to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -M\left(\int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^p)\,dx\right)\Delta_p u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x)|u|^{r-2}u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $1 < q < p < r \leq p^*(p^* = \frac{pN}{N-p}$ if $N \geq 2p-1; p^* = \infty$ if $N = 1, p)$, $M(s) = as^{p-1} + b$ and $a, b, \lambda > 0$. The weight functions $f, g \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\} \neq 0$,

(ii) $g^+ = \max\{g, 0\} \neq 0$.

Problem (1) is a general version of a model presented by Kirchhoff [14]. More precisely, Kirchhoff introduced a model

$$\rho\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \Big(\frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L}\int_0^L |\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}|^2 dx\Big)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0, \tag{2}$$

where ρ, ρ_0, h, E, L are constants, which extends the classical D'Alembert's wave equation by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during the vibrations. The problem

$$\begin{gathered} -\Big(a + b\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx\Big)\Delta u = f(x,u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{gathered} \tag{3}$$

received much attention, mainly after the article by Lions [15]. Problems like (3) are also introduced as models for other physical phenomena as, for example, biological systems where u describes a process which depends on the average of itself (for example, population density). See [2] and its references therein. For a more detailed reference on this subject we refer the interested reader to [3, 8, 10, 11, 16, 17].

See [9] where the authors discussed the problem (1) when $p = 2$. Here we focus on extending the study in [9]. In fact This paper is motivated, in part, by the mathematical difficulty posed by the degenerate quasilinear elliptic operator compared to the Laplacian operator ($p = 2$). This extension is nontrivial and requires more careful analysis of the nonlinearity. Our approach is based on the Nehari manifold, see [1, 5, 6, 7, 12, 18, 20, 21].

2 Variational setting

We define

$$\|u\|_L^z \leq S_z^{-\frac{z}{p}} \|u\|_W^{1,p}, \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\},$$

where S_z is a best sobolev constant for the embedding of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in $L^z(\Omega)$ with $1 < z < p*$. The energy functional corresponding to equation (1), for $u \in W_0^1(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) = \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx,$$

where $\hat{M}(s) = \int_0^t M(t)\,dt$ and $M : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is any function that is differentiable everywhere except at some finite points. It is well known the weak solutions of equation (1) are the critical points of the energy functional $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$. By taking $M(s) = as^{p-1} + b$ and using the Sobolev inequality, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\,\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\
&\geq \frac{a}{p^2}\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + \frac{b}{p}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{\lambda S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|f^+\|_\infty}{q}\,\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\,\|g^+\|_\infty}{r}\,\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}} \\
&= \Big(\frac{a}{p^2}\|u\|^{p^2-r}_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\,\|g^+\|_\infty}{r}\Big)\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}} + \Big(\frac{b}{p}\,\|u\|^{p-q}_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{\lambda S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|f^+\|_\infty}{q}\Big)\,\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$, and so $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u)$ is bounded below on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ when $r < p^2$ and, when $r > p^2$, $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ is no longer bounded below on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, because $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu) = -\infty$, so it is useful to consider the functional on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\setminus\{0\} : \langle \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M}(u), u\rangle = 0\},$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ denote the usual duality. Thus,$u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$ if and only if

$$M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx = 0.$$

The Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$ is closely linked to the behavior of functions of the form $I_{u,M} : t \to \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu)$ for $t > 0$ that named fibering maps. If $u \in W_0^{1,p}$, we have

$$I_{u,M}(t) = \frac{1}{p}\,\hat{M}(t^p\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{t^r}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx,$$

$$I'_{u,M}(t) = t^{p-1}\,M(t^p\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda\,t^{q-1}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - t^{r-1}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I''_{u,M}(t) &= (p-1)\,t^{p-2}\,M(t^p\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} + p\,t^{2p-2}\,M'(t^p\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} \\
&- \lambda\,(q-1)\,t^{q-2}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - (r-1)\,t^{r-2}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$t\,I'_{u,M}(t) = M(\|tu\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|tu\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda\int_\Omega f|tu|^q\,dx - \int_\Omega g|tu|^r\,dx;$$

and so, for $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\backslash\{0\}$ and $t > 0$, $I'_{u,M}(t) = 0$ if and only if $tu \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$, i.e., positive critical points of $I_{u,M}$ correspond to points on the Nehari manifold. Hence, $I'_{u,M}(1) = 0$ if and only if $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$. We have,

$$\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} : I''_{u,M}(1) > 0\};$$
$$\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M} = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} : I''_{u,M}(1) = 0\};$$
$$\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M} = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} : I''_{u,M}(1) < 0\}.$$

Thus, for each $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{u,M}(1) &= (p-1)\, M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} + p\, M'(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda\,(q-1) \int_\Omega f|u|^q\, dx \\ &\quad - (r-1) \int_\Omega g|u|^r\, dx \\ &= p\, M'(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} + (p-q)\, M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (r-q) \int_\Omega g|u|^r\, dx \quad (4) \\ &= p\, M'(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} - (r-p)\, M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} + \lambda\,(r-q) \int_\Omega f|u|^q\, dx. \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\psi_{\lambda,M}(u) = \langle\, \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M}(u), u\rangle = M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q\, dx - \int_\Omega g|u|^r\, dx. \quad (6)$$

Then for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi'_{\lambda,M}(u), u\rangle &= (p-1)\, M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} + p\, M'(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda\,(q-1) \int_\Omega f|u|^q\, dx \\ &\quad - (r-1) \int_\Omega g|u|^r\, dx \\ &= I''_{u,M}(1). \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

Also, as proved in Binding, Drabek and Huang [4] or in Brown and Zhang [7], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that u_0 is a local minimizer for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$ and that $u_0 \notin \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$. Then $\mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M}(u_0) = 0$ in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$.

Let$\lambda_0(a) = \max\{\frac{q\,\lambda_1(a)}{p^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}}, \frac{q\,\lambda_2}{p}\}$, where $\lambda_1(a)$ and $\lambda_2$are given by

$$\lambda_1(a) = \frac{p\,S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}\ \sqrt[p]{a\,b^{p-1}\,(r-p^2)\,(r-p)^{p-1}}}{(r-q)\,\|f^+\|_\infty}\Big(\frac{p\,S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\ \sqrt[p]{a\,b^{p-1}\,(p^2-q)\,(p-q)^{p-1}}}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1-q}{r-2p+1}}$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{b\,S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}(r-p)}{(r-q)\,\|f^+\|_\infty}\,\Big(\frac{b\,S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\,(p-q)}{(r-q)\,\|g^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{r-p}},$$

then we will state the main theorems.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that N is any one of $1, p, 2p-1$ and that $r > p^2$. Then for each $a < 0$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0(a)$, Eq. (1) has two positive solutions $u^+_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^-_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$.

We define

$$\Lambda = \inf\{\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} :\ u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^2}\,dx = 1\}. \tag{8}$$

then $\Lambda > 0$ is achieved by some $\phi_\Lambda \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega g|\phi_\Lambda|^{p^2}\,dx = 1$ and $\phi_\Lambda > 0$ a.e. in Ω according to the compactness of Sobolev embedding from $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ into $L^{p^2}(\Omega)$ and Fatou's lemma. So,

$$\Lambda \int_\Omega g|u|^{p^2}\,dx \le\ \|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} \text{ for all } u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega), \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}\,\Delta_p u = \mu\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}\,u, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

where μ is an eigenvalue of Eq. (10), $u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ is nonzero and eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue μ such that

$$\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\,\nabla u\,\nabla(u.\varphi)\,dx = \mu \int_\Omega |u|^p\,u\,\varphi\,dx, \text{ for all } \varphi \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega),$$

we writhe

$$I(u) = \|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}}, \text{ for } u \in \mathbb{S}_W = \{u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) :\ \int_\Omega |u|^{p^2}\,dx = 1\},$$

and all distinct eigenvalues of Eq. (10) denoted by $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < ...$, we have

$$\mu_1 = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{S}_{W^{1,p}}} I(u) > 0,$$

where μ_1 is simple, isolated and can be achieved at some $\psi_1 \in \mathbb{S}_W$ and $\psi_1 > 0$ in Ω (see [22]).

Let

$$\hat{\lambda}_0(a) = \frac{p\, b\, S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}}{(p^2 - q)\, \|f^+\|_\infty} \Big(\frac{b\, \Lambda\, (p-q)}{(1 - a\lambda)(p^2 - q)} \Big)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}$$

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $N = 1, p, 2p-1$ and $r = p^2$. Then

- (i) for each $a \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $\lambda > 0, \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$ and Eq. (1) has at least one positive solution;
- (ii) for each $a < \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{p}\, \hat{\lambda}_0(a)$, Eq. (1) has two positive solutions $u^+_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^-_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$, and $\lim_{a \to \frac{1}{\Lambda}^-} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) = \infty$.

For the next result, we note that if $g \geq 0$, then using Lemma 2 from Alves et al. [2], there exists $C_* > 0$ independent of M and λ such that

$$\frac{\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{(\lambda\, C_*^q\, \|f^+\|_\infty + C_*^r\, \|g^+\|_\infty)\, |\Omega|} \leq \max\{ M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})^{\frac{(p-r+q)}{(r-p)}}, M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})^{\frac{p}{(r-p)}} \}. \tag{11}$$

Let

$$L(\lambda) = (\lambda\, C_*^q\, \|f^+\|_\infty + C_*^r\, \|g^+\|_\infty)\, |\Omega|,$$

$$\hat{A}_0 = \frac{b\,(p-q)\,(r-p)}{p(p^2-q)} \Big(\frac{p\, S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}}{b\,(p-q)\,(r-q)\,(p^2-r)\, \|g^+\|_\infty} \Big)^{\frac{p^2-r}{r-p}},$$

and

$$A_0 = \max\{ \Big(\frac{b(2r-p)}{r}\Big)^{\frac{(p-r+q)}{(r-p)}}, \Big(\frac{b\, r}{p}\Big)^{\frac{(p-r+q)}{(r-p)}}, \Big(\frac{br}{p}\Big)^{\frac{p}{(r-p)}} \}.$$

Then we have:

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $r < p^2$. Then

- (i) for each $a, \lambda > 0$, Eq. (1) has a positive solution $u_{a,\lambda}$. And, for each $a > \hat{A}_0$ and $\lambda > 0, u_{a,\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$;

- (ii) if $g \geq 0$,then for each $\theta > 0$ and $0 < a < \frac{b(r-p)}{rA_0L(\theta)}$ there exists $\tilde{\lambda}_0 \in (0, \theta]$ such that for $0 < \lambda < \tilde{\lambda}_0$, Eq. (1) has two positive $u^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^-_{\lambda,M}$such that $u^{\pm}_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}_{\lambda,M}$and $\|u^{\pm}_{\lambda,M}\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < \frac{b(r-p)}{pa}$.

Finally, let

$$A_* = \frac{p^{\frac{r}{(p-r)}}(r-p)^p}{r\mathbb{S}} \left(\frac{2p-r}{b}\right)^{\frac{(p^2-r)}{(r-p)}},$$

and

$$\hat{\Lambda} = a\left(\frac{b(r-p)}{a(p^2-r)}\right)^{\frac{(p^2-q)}{p}} \|f\|^{-1}_{\infty} S_q^{\frac{q}{p}},$$

where $\mathbb{S} > 0$ given by (36), we state our last theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $r < p^2$ and $f, g > 0$. Then for each $\theta > 0$and $0 < a < \{\frac{b(r-p)}{rA_0L(\theta)}, A_*\}$ there exists a positive number $\tilde{\lambda}_* \leq \min\{\theta, \hat{\Lambda}\}$such that for $0 < \lambda < \tilde{\lambda}_*$, Eq. (1) has three positive solutions $u^{(1),+}_{\lambda,M}$,$u^{(p),+}_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^-_{\lambda,M}$ such that $u^{(i),+}_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$,$u^-_{\lambda,M} \in N^-_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\|u^{(1),+}_{\lambda,M}\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < \left(\frac{p\lambda\,(r-q)\|f\|_{\infty} S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}}{b(r-p)^p}\right)^{\frac{p}{(p-q)}},$$

$$S_r^{\frac{r}{p(r-p)}}\left(\frac{pb(r-1)(p-q)}{r(r-q)\|g^+\|_{\infty}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(r-p)}} < \|u^-_{\lambda,M}\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < \frac{b(r-p)}{pa} < \|u^{(p),+}_{\lambda,M}\|^p_{W^{1,p}}.$$

3 Preliminary Results

The sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ if

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u_n) \text{ is bounded and } \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M}(u_n) = o(1) \text{ in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega).$$

Furthermore, if every Palais-Smale sequence for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ has a strongly convergent subsequence, then $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Now we have the following results.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $M(s) \geq m_0$ for all $s \geq 0$ and for some $m_0 > 0$. Then each bounded Palais-Smale sequence for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ has a strongly convergent subsequence.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded Palais-Smale sequence for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then by the compact embedding theorem, there exist subsequences $\{u_n\}$ and $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ Weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

and

$$u_n \to u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^z(\Omega) \text{ for } 1 < z < p^*.$$

then

$$\int_\Omega (\lambda\, f|u_n|^{q-2}\, u_n + g|u_n|^{r-2}\, u_n)\,(u_n - u_0)\, dx \to 0,$$

and since

$$\mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M}(u_n^{p-1})(u_n - u_0) \to 0,$$

We have

$$M(u_n) \int_\Omega \nabla u_n^{p-1} \nabla (u_n - u_0) \to 0.$$

Thus, $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $M(s) = as^{p-1} + b$. Then,

- **(i)** If $r \geq p^2$, then energy functional $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ is coercive and below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$;
- **(ii)** If $r < p^2$, then energy functional $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ is coercive and bounded below on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. (i) For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$, we have $M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} = \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q\, dx + \int_\Omega g|u|^r\, dx$. By the Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\, dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{1}{p}\, M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\, \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda\,(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\, dx \\
&\geq \frac{\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{rp}(\frac{a(r-p^2)}{p}\,\|u\|^{p^2-p}_{W^{1,p}} + b(r-p)) - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{b(r-p)}{rp}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\|f^+\|_\infty\, S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$.

(ii) We have,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\ &\geq \frac{a}{p^2}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + \frac{b}{p}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{\lambda\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}}{q}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{\|g^+\|_\infty S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}}{q}\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}} \\ &= (\frac{a}{p^2}\|u\|^{p^2-r}_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\|g^+\|_\infty}{r})\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}} + (\frac{b}{p}\|u\|^{p-q}_{W^{1,p}} - \frac{\lambda\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}}{q})\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}},\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)\backslash\{0\}$. Thus, $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ is coercive and bounded below on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$. □

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $M(s) = as^{p-1} + b$. Then we have

- **(i)** If $r > p^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \max\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2\}$, then for all $a > 0$, $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M} = \emptyset$.
- **(ii)** if $r = p^2$ and $a \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda}$, then for all$\lambda > 0$, $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$.
- **(iii)** if $r = p^2$,$a < \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $0 < \lambda < \hat{\lambda}_0(a)$, then $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M} = \emptyset$.
- **(iv)** if $r < p^2$ and $a > \hat{A}_0$, then for all $\lambda > 0$, $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$.

Proof. (i) If $r > p^2$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}} &\geq a(p^2-q)\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)(p-1)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} p\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(p^2-q)(p-q)^{p-1}}\|u\|^{2p-1}_{W^{1,p}}, \\ b(r-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}, \end{cases} \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}} &\geq a(r-p^2)\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(r-p)(p-1)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} p\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(r-p^2)(r-p)^{p-1}}\|u\|^{2p-1}_{W^{1,p}}, \\ b(r-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}. \end{cases} \qquad (13)\end{aligned}$$

By (12) and (13) for all $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$, we have

$$(\frac{pS_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(p^2-q)(p-q)^{p-1}}}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{1}{r-2p+1}} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq (\frac{\lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}{pS_q^{\frac{q}{p}}\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(r-p^2)(r-p)^{p-1}}})^{\frac{1}{2p-q-1}}$$

and

$$(\frac{bS_r^{\frac{r}{p}}(p-q)}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{1}{(r-p)}} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq (\frac{\lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}{S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}} b(r-p)})^{\frac{1}{p-q}}.$$

Hence, if $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$ is nonempty, then the inequality $\lambda \geq \max\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2\}$ must hold.
(ii) If $r = p^2$ and $a \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda}$, then for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{\lambda,M}(1) &= a\,(p^2-q)\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (p^2-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\ &\geq \frac{(a\Lambda-1)(p^2-q)}{\Lambda}\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$ for all $\lambda > 0$.
(iii) If $r = p^2$, $a < \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} b(p-q)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} &= (p^2-q)(\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx - a\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}}) \\ &\leq \frac{(1-a\Lambda)(p^2-q)}{\Lambda}\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \leq \frac{\lambda(p^2-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}{b(p^2-p)S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}}\,\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}. \tag{15}$$

By (14) and (15) for all $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$, we have

$$(\frac{b\,\Lambda\,(p-q)}{(1-a\Lambda)(p^2-q)})^{\frac{1}{p^2-p}} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq (\frac{\lambda(p^2-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}{b(p^2-p)S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}})^{\frac{1}{p-q}}$$

Hence, if $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$ is nonempty, then the inequality $\lambda \geq \hat{\lambda}_0(a)$ must be hold.
(iv) If $r < p^2$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I''_{\lambda,M}(1) &= a(p^2-q)\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\ &\geq a(p^2-q)\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\,\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}} \\ &= \|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}[a(p^2-q)\,\|u\|^{p^2-p}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q) - (r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\,\|u\|^{r-p}_{W^{1,p}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $a > \hat{A}_0$, then we have

$$a(p^2-q)\,\|u\|^{p^2-p}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q) - (r-q)\,\|g^+\|_\infty S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\,\|u\|^{r-p}_{W^{1,p}} \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M},$$

and so

$$I''_{\lambda,M}(1) > 0 \text{ for all } a > A_0 \text{ and } u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$for all $\lambda > 0$.

4 Non-emptiness of submanifolds

Now we state the results for $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M}$,$\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ that are non-empty for various r, a and λ.

Theorem 4.1.

- **(i)** If $r > p^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \max\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2\}$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} \cup \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and $\mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M} \neq \emptyset$ for all $a > 0$.
- **(ii)** If $r = p^2$, $a < \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $0 < \lambda < \hat{\lambda}_0(a)$, then $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} \cup \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and $\mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M} \neq \emptyset$.
- **(iii)**If $r = p^2$, $a \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda}$, then for all $\lambda > 0$, $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} \neq \emptyset$.
- **(iv)**If $r < p^2$ and $a > \hat{A}_0$, then for all $\lambda > 0$, $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} \neq \emptyset$.

To prove Theorem 4.1. (i) we state the following lemmas:

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $r > p^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \max\{\lambda_1(a), \lambda_2\}$. Then for each $u \in {W_0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx > 0$, there exists $t_{a,\max} > 0$ such that

- **(i)** If $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \leq 0$, then there is a unique $t^- > t_{a,\max}$ such that $t^- u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and
$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^- u) = \sup_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu);$$
- **(ii)** If$\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$, then there are unique t^+ and t^- with $0 < t^+ < t_{a,\max} < t^-$such that $t^\pm u \in \mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+ u) = \inf_{0\leq t\leq t_{a,\max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu); \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^- u) = \sup_{t\geq t_{a,max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

Proof. Case (A): $\lambda_0 = \lambda_2$.
Fix $u \in {W_0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx > 0$. Let

$$h_a(t) = at^{p^2-q}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + bt^{p-q}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - t^{r-q}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \text{ for} a, t \geq 0.$$

Clearly, $tu \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$ if and only if $h_a(t) = \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx$. We have $h_a(0) = 0$ and $h_a(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx > 0$, $r > p^2$ and

$$h'_a(t) = t^{p-q-1}\Big(a\,(p^2-q)t^{p^2-p}\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (r-q)\,t^{r-p}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx\Big),$$

there is a unique $t_{a,\max} > 0$ such that $h_a(t)$ achieves its maximum at $t_{a,\max}$, increasing for $t \in [0, t_{a,\max})$ and decreasing for $t \in (t_{a,\max}, \infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to\infty} h_a(t) = -\infty$. Clearly, if $tu \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}$, then $t^{q-1} h_a'(t) = I''_{u,M}(t)$. Hence $tu \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}(\text{or}\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M})$if and only if $h_a'(t) > 0$ (or < 0). Moreover,

$$t_{0,\max} = \Big(\frac{b(p-q)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{(r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{1}{(r-p)}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(t_{0,\max}) &= b\Big(\frac{b(p-q)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{(r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{(p-q)}{(r-p)}}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \Big(\frac{b(p-q)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{(r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{r-q}{(r-p)}}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\ &= \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\Big[\Big(\frac{p-q}{r-q}\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{r-p}} - \Big(\frac{p-q}{r-q}\Big)^{\frac{r-q}{r-p}}\Big]\Big(\frac{\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}}}{\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{r-p}} b^{\frac{(r-q)}{(r-p)}} \\ &\geq \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\frac{b(r-p)}{(r-q)}\Big(\frac{bS_r^{\frac{r}{p}}(p-q)}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{(p-q)}{(r-p)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Case (A-i): $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \leq 0$.
There is a unique $t^- > t_{a,max}$ such that $h_a(t^-) = \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx$ and $h_a'(t^-) < 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} I'_{t^-u,M}(1) &= t^- I'_{u,M}(t^-) \\ &= M(\|t^-u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\|t^-u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda\int_\Omega f|t^-u|^q\,dx - \int_\Omega g|t^-u|^r\,dx \\ &= (t^-)^q[h_a(t^-) - \lambda\int_\Omega f|t^-u|^q\,dx] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I''_{t^-u,M}(1) = (t^-)^2 I'_{u,M}(t^-) = (t^-)^{q+1}h_a'(t^-) < 0.$$

Thus $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$. Since for $t > t_{a,\max}$, we have $h_a'(t) < 0$ and $h_a''(t) < 0$. Subsequently,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-u) = I_{u,M}(t^-) = \sup_{t\geq 0} I_{u,M}(t) = \sup_{t\geq 0}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

Case (A-ii): $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$. By (16) and

$$\begin{aligned} h_a(0) &= 0 < \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\ &\leq \lambda\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}} \\ &< \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\frac{b(r-p)}{(r-q)}\Big(\frac{bS_r^{\frac{r}{p}}(p-q)}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{(r-q)}{(r-p)}} \\ &\leq h_0(t_{0,\max}) < h_a(t_{a,\max}). \end{aligned}$$

there are unique t^+ and t^- such that $0 < t^+ < t_{a,max} < t^-$,

$$h_a(t^+) = \lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q \, dx = h_a(t^-),$$

and

$$h_a'(t^+) > 0 > h_a'(t^-).$$

Similar to the argument in part $(A - i)$, we conclude that $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $t^-u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-u) \geq \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu) \geq \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u) \text{ for each } t \in [t^+, t^-],$$

and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u) \leq \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu)$ for each $t \in [0, t^+]$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u) = \inf_{0\leq t\leq t_{a,\max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u) \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-u) = \sup_{t\geq t_{a,\max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

Case (B): $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1(a)$.
Fix $u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega g|u|^p \, dx > 0$. Let

$$m_a(t) = p\sqrt[p]{ab}t^{2p-q-1}\|u\|^{2p-1}_{W^{1,p}} - t^{r-q}\int_\Omega g|u|^r \, dx \text{ for} t \geq 0.$$

Then, $m_a(t) \leq h_a(t)$ for all $a > 0$ and $t \geq 0$. We have $m_a(0) = 0$ and $m_a(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Since $\int_\Omega g|u|^p \, dx > 0$,$r > p^2$ and

$$m_a'(t) = t^{1-q}(p\sqrt[p]{ab}(2p - q - 1)t^{2p-3}\|u\|^{2p-1}_{W^{1,p}} - (r - q)t^{r-2}\int_\Omega g|u|^r \, dx),$$

there is a unique

$$\tilde{t}_{a,\max} = \left(\frac{p\sqrt[p]{ab}(2p - q - 1)t^{2p-3}\|u\|^{2p-1}_{W^{1,p}}}{(r - q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r \, dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-2p+1}} > 0$$

such that $m_a(t)$ achives its maximum at $\tilde{t}_{a,\max}$, increasing for $t \in [0, \tilde{t}_{a,\max})$ and decreasing for $t \in (\tilde{t}_{a,\max}, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} m_a(\tilde{t}_{a,\max}) &= p\sqrt[p]{ab}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\left(\frac{p\sqrt[p]{ab}(2p - q - 1)\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}}}{(r - q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r \, dx}\right)^{\frac{(2p-q-1)}{(r-2p+1)}} \\ &\quad - p\sqrt[p]{ab}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\frac{2p - q - 1}{r - q}\left(\frac{p\sqrt[p]{ab}(2p - q - 1)\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}}}{(r - q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r \, dx}\right)^{\frac{(2p-q-1)}{(r-2p+1)}} \\ &= \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\frac{p(r - 2p + 1)\sqrt[p]{ab}}{(r - q)}\left(\frac{p\sqrt[p]{ab}(2p - q - 1)\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}}}{(r - q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r \, dx}\right)^{\frac{(2p-q-1)}{(r-2p+1)}} \\ &\geq \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\frac{p(r - 2p + 1)\sqrt[p]{ab}}{(r - q)}\left(\frac{p\sqrt[p]{ab}(2p - q - 1)S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}}{(r - q)\|g^+\|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{(2p-q-1)}{(r-2p+1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Case (B-i):$\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \le 0$.
By $h_a(0)=0$ and $h_a(t)\to-\infty$ as $t\to\infty$, there is a unique $t^->t_{a,\max}$ such that $h_a(t^-)=\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx$ and $h_a'(t^-)<0$. Repeating the argument in part $(A-i)$, we have $t^-u\in\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-u)=I_{u,M}(t^-)=\sup_{t\ge0}I_{u,M}(t)=\sup_{t\ge0}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

Case (B-ii):$\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx>0$. By (16) and

$$\begin{aligned}
h_a(0)=0 &< \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx\le\lambda\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\\
&< \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\frac{p\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(r-p^2)(r-p)^{p-1}}}{(r-q)}\Big(\frac{pS_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(p^2-q)(p-q)^{p-1}}}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{(2p-q-1)}{(r-2p+1)}}\\
&\le \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\frac{p\sqrt[p]{ab}(r-2p+1)}{(r-q)}\Big(\frac{p(2p-q-1)S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\sqrt[p]{ab}}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{(2p-q-1)}{(r-2p+1)}}\\
&\le m_a(\tilde{t}_{a,\max})<h_a(t_{a,max}),
\end{aligned}$$

there are unique t^+ and t^- such that $0<t^+<t_{a,\max}<t^-$,

$$h_a(t^+)=\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx=h_a(t^-),$$

and

$$h_a'(t^+)>0>h_a'(t^-).$$

Repeating the same argument of part $(A-i)$, we conclude that $t^+u\in\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $t^-u\in\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-u)\ge\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu)\ge\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u)\text{ for each }t\in[t^+,t^-],$$

and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u)\le\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu)$ for each $t\in[0,t^+]$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u)=\inf_{0\le t\le t_{a,\max}}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu)\text{ and }\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-u)=\sup_{t\ge t_{a,\max}}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.3. Suppose that $r>p^2$ and $0<\lambda<\max\{\lambda_1(a),\lambda_2\}$. Then for each $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ with $u\in\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx>0$, there exists $\bar{t}_{a,\max}>0$ such that

- **(i)** If $\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx\le0$ then there is a unique $0<t^+<\bar{t}_{a,\max}$ such that $t^+u\in\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u)=\inf_{t\ge0}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu);$$

- **(ii)** If $\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx > 0$ then there are unique t^+ and t^- with $0 < t^+ < \bar{t}_{\max} < t^-$ such that $t^\pm u \in \mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u) = \inf_{0\le t\le t_{a,max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu) \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-u) = \sup_{t\ge t_{a,\max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

Proof. Fix $u \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$. Let

$$\bar{h_a}(t) = at^{p^2-r}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + bt^{p-r}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - t^{q-r}\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \text{ for } t>0 \text{ and} a \ge 0.$$

Clearly, $\bar{h_a}(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to 0^+$ and $\bar{h_a}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Since

$$\bar{h_a}'(t) = t^{p-r-1}(a(p^2-r)t^{p^2-p}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-r)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (q-r)t^{q-p}\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx,$$

there is a unique $\bar{t}_{a,\max} > 0$ such that $\bar{h}_a(t)$ achives its maximum at $\bar{t}_{a,\max}$, increasing for $t \in [0, \bar{t}_{a,\max})$ and decreasing for $t \in (\bar{t}_{a,\max}, \infty)$. Moreover,

$$\bar{t}_{0,\max} = \Big(\frac{(r-q)\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx}{b(r-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{(p-q)}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{h}_0(\bar{t}_{0,\max}) &= b\Big(\frac{b(r-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{(r-q)\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{r-p}{p-q}}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \Big(\frac{b(r-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{(r-q)\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{r-q}{p-q}}\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&= \|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}}\frac{b(p-q)}{(r-q)}\Big(\frac{b(r-p)\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}}{(r-q)\lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{r-p}{p-q}} \\
&\ge \|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}}\frac{b(p-q)}{(r-q)}\Big(\frac{b(r-p)S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\lambda\,(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{r-p}{p-q}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The results of Lemma 4.3 are obtained by repeating the same argument of Lemma 4.2.
For the proof of Theorem 4.1(ii), we require the following two lemmas:

Lemma 4.4. Suppose that $r = p^2$, $a < \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $0 < \lambda < \hat{\lambda}_0(a)$. Let $\phi_\Lambda > 0$ as in (8). Then, there exists $\hat{t}_{\max} > 0$ such that

- **(i)** If $\int_\Omega f|\phi_\Lambda|^q\,dx \le 0$ then there is a unique $t^- > \hat{t}_{a,\max}$ such that $t^-\phi_\Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-\phi_\Lambda) = \sup_{t\ge 0}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t\phi_\Lambda);$$

- **(ii)** If $\int_\Omega f|\phi_\Lambda|^q\,dx > 0$, then there are unique t^+ and t^- with $0 < t^+ < \hat{t}_{\max} < t^-$ such that $t^\pm\phi_\Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+\phi_\Lambda) = \inf_{0\le t\le t_{a,max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t\phi_\Lambda) \text{ and } \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^-\phi_\Lambda) = \sup_{t\ge t_{a,\max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t\phi_\Lambda).$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}(t) &= at^{p^2-q}\|\phi_\Lambda\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + bt^{p-q}\|\phi_\Lambda\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - t^{p^2-q}\int_\Omega g|\phi_\Lambda|^{p^2}\,dx\\ &= bt^{p-q}\|\phi_\Lambda\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - t^{p^2-q}(\int_\Omega g|\phi_\Lambda|^{p^2}\,dx - a\|\phi_\Lambda\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}}) \text{ for} t \ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then by (8) and (9),

$$\int_\Omega g|\phi_\Lambda|^{p^2}\,dx - a\|\phi_\Lambda\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} = 1 - a\Lambda > 0,$$

we have $\hat{h}(0) = 0$ and $\hat{h}(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}'(t) &= b(p-q)t^{p-q-1}\|\phi_\Lambda\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (p^2-q)t^{p^2-q-1}(\int_\Omega g|\phi_\Lambda|^{p^2}\,dx - a\|\phi_\Lambda\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}})\\ &= b(p-q)t^{p-q-1}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} - (p^2-q)t^{p^2-q-1}(1-a\Lambda),\end{aligned}$$

there is a unique $\hat{t}_{max} > 0$ such that $\hat{h}(t)$ achieves its maximum at $\hat{t}_{\max}$, increasing for $t \in [0, \hat{t}_{\max})$ and decreasing for $t \in (\hat{t}_{\max,\infty})$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}(\hat{t}_{\max}) &= b(\frac{b(p-q)\Lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(p^2-q)(1-a\Lambda)})^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-q}}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} - (1-a\Lambda)(\frac{b(p-q)\Lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(p^2-q)(1-a\Lambda)})^{\frac{p^2-q}{p^2-p}}\\ &= \Lambda^{\frac{pq-q}{p^3-p^2}}[\frac{b^{\frac{p^2-q}{p^2-p}}(p-q)^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}}}{(p^2-q)^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}}}(\frac{\Lambda}{1-a\Lambda})^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}}]\\ &- \Lambda^{\frac{pq-q}{p^3-p^2}}\Big[\frac{b^{\frac{p^2-q}{p^2-p}}(p-q)^{\frac{p^2-q}{p^2-p}}}{(p^2-q)^{\frac{p^2-q}{p^2-p}}}(\frac{\Lambda}{1-a\Lambda})^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}}\Big]\\ &= \Lambda^{\frac{pq-q}{p^3-p^2}}b^{\frac{p^2-q}{p^2-p}}[(\frac{p-q}{p^2-q})^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}} - (\frac{p-q}{2p-q})^{\frac{2p-q}{p}})](\frac{\Lambda}{1-a\Lambda})^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}}\\ &\ge \frac{(p^2-p)\Lambda^{\frac{q}{p^2}}b^{\frac{p^2-q}{p^2-p}}}{p^2-q}\Big(\frac{\Lambda(p-q)}{(p^2-q)(1-a\Lambda)}\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the argument in Lemma 4.2, we can obtain the results of Lemma 4.4. □

By $f^+ \neq 0$, there exists at least one $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\backslash\{0\}$ such that $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$. Let

$$\bar{t}_{\max} = \Big(\frac{(2p-q)\lambda \int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx}{pb\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}.$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 4.5. Let $r = 2p$, $a < \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $0 < \lambda < \hat{\lambda}_0(a)$. Then for each $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$, there is a unique $0 < t^+ < \bar{t}_{\max}$ such that $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u) = \inf_{0<t<\bar{t}_{\max}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu)$$

Proof. Fix $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega (f|u|^q)\,dx > 0$. Let

$$\bar{h}(t) = bt^{-p}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - t^{q-2p}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \,\text{for} t > 0.$$

Clearly, $\bar{h}(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to 0^+$ and $\bar{h}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Since

$$\bar{h}'(t) = -pbt^{-p-1}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} + (2p-q)t^{q-2p-1}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx,$$

$\bar{h}'(t) = 0$ at $t = \bar{t}_{\max}$,$\bar{h}'(t) > 0$ for $t \in [0, \bar{t}_{\max})$ and $\bar{h}'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (\bar{t}_{\max}, \infty)$. Then $\bar{h}(t)$ achieves its maximum at $\bar{t}_{\max}$, increasing for $t \in (0, \bar{t}_{\max})$ and decreasing for $t \in (\bar{t}_{\max}, \infty)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{h}(\bar{t}_{\max}) &= \|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} b\Big(\frac{p-q}{2p-q}\Big)\Big(\frac{pb\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}}{(2p-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx}\Big)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \\
&\geq = \|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} b\Big(\frac{p-q}{2p-q}\Big)\Big(\frac{pb\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}}{(2p-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}\Big)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \\
&\geq \Big(\frac{1-\Lambda a}{\Lambda}\Big)\|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_\Omega g|u|^{2p}\,dx - a\|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} \leq \Big(\frac{1-\Lambda a}{\Lambda}\Big)\|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} \leq \bar{h}(\bar{t}_{max}),$$

and $\bar{h}(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to 0^+$, we can conclude that there is a unique $t^+ < \bar{t}_{\max}$ such that $\bar{h}(t^+) = \int_\Omega g|u|^{2p}\,dx - a\|u\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}}$ and $\bar{h}'(t^+) > 0$. The results of Lemma 4.5 can be obtained by repeating the argument of Lemma 4.2. □

To prove Theorem 4.1(iii), we require the following lemma:

Lemma 4.6. Suppose that $r = p^2$ and $a \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda}$. Then for each $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$, there is unique $0 < t^+ < \bar{t}_{\max}$ such that $t^+u \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t^+u) = \inf_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

Proof. Similar to the argument in Lemma 4.5, we can obtain the results of lemma 4.6. □

To prove Theorem 4.1(iv), we use the following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. Suppose that $r < p^2$ and $a > \hat{A}_0$. Then for each $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there is a unique $t_\lambda > 0$ such that $t_\lambda u \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(t_\lambda u) = \inf_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(tu).$$

Proof. Fix $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0$. Let

$$\tilde{h}(t) = at^{p^2-q}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + bt^{p-q}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - t^{r-q}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Then by $q < p$ and $r < p^2$, we have$\tilde{h}(0) = 0$ and $\tilde{h}(t) \to \infty$ as$t \to \infty$. Since $a > \hat{A}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{h}'(t) &= a(p^2-q)t^{p^2-q-1}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)t^{p-q-1}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - (r-q)t^{r-q-1}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx\\ &\geq t^{p-q-1}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}(a(p^2-q)t^{p^2-p} + b(p-q) - (r-q)t^{r-q}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx)\\ &> 0, \text{ for all } t > 0,\end{aligned}$$

and so $\tilde{h}(t)$ increases for $t \in [0,\infty)$. Moreover, for each $\lambda > 0$, there is a unique $t_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{h}(t_\lambda) = \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx.$$

Again, the proof is completed by repeating the argument of Lemma 4.2.□

5 Proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.2

We write $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} \cup \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and define

$$\alpha^+_\lambda = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u);\ \ \alpha^-_\lambda = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u).$$

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that $r > p^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0(a)$. Then we have

- **(i)** $\alpha^+_\lambda < 0$;
- **(ii)** $\alpha^-_\lambda > c_0$ for some $c_0 > 0$.

In particular $\alpha^+_\lambda = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u)$.

Proof. (i) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(r-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx &> a(r-p^2)\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(r-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\geq b(r-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{1}{p}M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\ &\leq \frac{b(r-p)}{pr}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\ &\leq -\frac{b(r-p)(p-q)}{rpq}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\alpha^+_\lambda < 0$.

(ii) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$. We divide the proof into the following two cases.
Case (A): $r > p^2$ and $\lambda_0(a) = \frac{q\lambda_2}{p}$. By (4) and the Sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} b(p-q)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} &\leq a(p^2-q)\,\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \\ &< (r-q)S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\,\|g^+\|_\infty\,\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}}, \end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}} > (\frac{b(p-q)S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{1}{r-p}} \text{ for all} u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}.$$

Subsequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{rp}(\frac{a(r-p^2)}{p}\|u\|^{p^2-p}_{W^{1,p}} + b(r-p)) - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{-\frac{q}{p}}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}} \\
&\geq \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}(\frac{b(r-p)}{rp}\|u\|^{p-q}_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{-\frac{q}{p}}) \\
&> (\frac{b(p-q)S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}}{(r-p)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{q}{r-p}}(\frac{b(r-p)}{rp}(\frac{b(p-q)S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}}{(r-p)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{p-q}{r-p}} - \frac{\lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}{rqS_q^{\frac{q}{p}}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\lambda < \frac{q}{p}\lambda_2$, then $\alpha^-_\lambda > c_0$ for $c_0 > 0$.
Case (B): $r > p^2$ and $\lambda_0(a) = \frac{q\lambda_1(a)}{p^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}}$. By (3) and the sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
p\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(p^2-q)(p-q)^{p-1}}\|u\|^{2p-1}_{W^{1,p}} &\leq a(p^2-q)\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + b(p-q)(p-1)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \\
&< (r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}},
\end{aligned}$$

this implies

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}} > (\frac{pS_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\sqrt[p]{ab^{p-1}(p^2-q)(p-q)^{p-1}}}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{1}{(r-2p+1)}} \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}.$$

Repeating the argument of part (A), we conclude that if $\lambda < \frac{q\lambda_1(a)}{p^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}}$, then $\alpha^-_\lambda > c_0$ for some $c_0 > 0$. this completes the proof. $\square$

Now, we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.1. By Lemma 3.2 and the Ekeland variational principle [13], there exist a minimizing sequence $\{u^\pm_n\}$ for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $\mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u^\pm_n) = \alpha^\pm_{\lambda,M} + o(1) \text{ and } \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M}(u^\pm_n) = o(1) \text{ in } W^{-1,p'}(\Omega).$$

It follows, by Lemma 3.1, that there exists a subsequence $\{u^\pm_n\}$ and $u^\pm_0 \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ are solutions of Eq. (1) such that $u^\pm_n \to u^\pm_0$ strongly in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and so $u^\pm_0 \in \mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u^\pm_0) = \hat{\alpha}^\pm_{\lambda,M}$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u^\pm_0) = \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(|u^\pm_0|)$ and $|u^\pm_0| \in \mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M}$, by Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $u^\pm_0$ are positive solutions of Eq. (1). Moreover, $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} \cap \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M} = \emptyset$, this indicates that u^+_0 and u^-_0 are two distinct solutions.This completes the proof.
To prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following.
By Theorem 4.3, we write $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M} = \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M} \cup \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$ and define

$$\hat{\alpha}^+_{\lambda,M} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u); \;\; \hat{\alpha}^-_{\lambda,M} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u).$$

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that $r = p^2$, $a < \frac{1}{\Lambda}$ and $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{p}\hat{\lambda}_0(a)$. Then we have

- **(i)** $\hat{\alpha}^+_{\lambda,M} < 0$;
- **(ii)** $\hat{\alpha}^-_{\lambda,M} > c_0$ for some $c_0 > 0$.

In particular, $\hat{\alpha}^+_{\lambda,M} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u)$.

Proof. (i) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$. Since

$$\lambda(p^2-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > b(p^2-p)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\
&= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{1}{r}M(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&= \frac{b(p-1)}{p^2}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{p^2-q}{p^2q})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&< -\frac{b(p-1)(p-q)}{p^2q}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\hat{\alpha}^+_{\lambda,M} < 0$.
(ii) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$. By (4),

$$\begin{aligned}
b(p-q)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} &< (p^2-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx - a(p^2-q)\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} \\
&\le \frac{(p^2-q)(1-a\Lambda)}{\Lambda}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}} > (\frac{b\Lambda\,(p-q)}{(1-a\Lambda)(p^2-q)})^{\frac{1}{p^2-p}} \text{ for all} u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}. \tag{18}$$

Subsequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\ &\geq \frac{b(p-1)}{p^2}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{p^2-q}{p^2q})\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}} \\ &\geq \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}(\frac{b(p-1)}{p^2}\,\|u\|^{p-q}_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{p^2-q}{p^2q})\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}) \\ &> \Big(\frac{b\Lambda(p-q)}{(1-a\Lambda)(p^2-q)}\Big)^{\frac{q}{p^2-p}}\Big(\frac{b(p-1)}{p^2}\Big(\frac{b\Lambda(p-q)}{(1-a\Lambda)(p^2-q)}\Big)\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{p^2-p}} - \lambda(\frac{p^2-q}{p^2q})\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thus, if $\lambda < \frac{1}{p}\hat{\lambda}_0(a)$, then $\alpha^-_\lambda > c_0$ for some $c_0 > 0$. This completes the proof.□

Now, we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.2.(i) By Theorem 4.1(iii), we define

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda,M} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u).$$

Similar to the argument in Theorem 5.3, we can conclude $\hat{\alpha}_{\lambda,M} < 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.2$(i)$ and the Ekeland variational principle [13], there exist a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u_n) = \alpha_{\lambda,M} + o(1)\text{and}\mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M}(u_n) = o(1)\text{in}W^{-1,p'}(\Omega).$$

It follows, by Lemma 3.1, that there exists a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and $u_0 \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ is a solution of Eq. (2) such that $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and so $u_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u_0) = \hat{\alpha}_\lambda$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u_0) = \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(|u_0|)$ and $|u_0| \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$, by lemma 2.1, we may assume that u_0 is a positive solution of Eq. (1).
(ii) Similar to the argument in Theorem 2.1, Eq. (1) thus has two positive solutions $u^+_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^-_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$. Moreover, by (18) and (19),

$$\|u^-_{\lambda,M}\|_{W^{1,p}} \to \infty \text{ as } a \to \frac{1^-}{\Lambda},$$

and

$$\lim_{a\to\frac{1^-}{\Lambda}} \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u) = \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

6 Proof of Theorem 2.3

First, we consider the following truncated equation (1),

$$\begin{cases} -M_{k^{p-1}}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dx\right)\Delta_p u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x)|u|^{r-2}u, & \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

where $k^{p-1} \in (\frac{b(r-p)}{ra}, \frac{b(r-p)}{pa})$ and

$$M_{k^{p-1}}(s) = \begin{cases} M(s), & if s \le k^{p-1}, \\ M(k^{p-1}) & if s > k^{p-1}, \end{cases}$$

is a truncated function of $M(s)$. The positive solutions of truncated equation (20) are critical points of the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u) = \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}_k^{p-1}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx,$$

where $\hat{M}_{k^{p-1}}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) = \int_0^t M_{k^{p-1}}\,ds$. We have the following results.

Lemma 6.1. The energy functional $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$.

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$, we have $M_{k^{p-1}}\left(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}\right) = \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx + \int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx$. By the sobolev inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}_{k^{p-1}}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}_{k^{p-1}}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{1}{r}M_{k^{p-1}}\left(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}\right)\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\ &\ge (\frac{b}{p} - \frac{M_{k^{p-1}}}{r})\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and since $k^{p-1} < \frac{b(r-p)}{pa}$, this gives $\frac{b}{p} - \frac{M(k^{p-1})}{r} > 0$. Thus, $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,k^{p-1}}$ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$. □

By (4) and (5), if $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ with $\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \le k^{p-1}$, then

$$I''_{u,M_{k^{p-1}}}(1) = a(p^2-1)\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + (p-1)b\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(q-1)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - (r-1)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx$$

$$= [a(p^2-q)\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p^2-p} + b(p-q)]\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} - (r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \tag{22}$$

$$= [a(p^2-r)\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p^2-p} + b(p-r)]\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} + \lambda\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx, \tag{23}$$

and if $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ with $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} > k^{p-1}$, then

$$I''_{u,M_{k^{p-1}}}(1) = (p-1)\,M(k^{p-1})\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} - \lambda(q-1)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx - (r-1)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx$$

$$= (p-q)\,M(k^{p-1})\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} - (r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \tag{24}$$

$$= -(r-p)\,M(k^{p-1})\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} + \lambda(r-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx. \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, if $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$, by (22)-(25) and the Sobolev inequality, then

$$\tilde{C}_1\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} \le (r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \le (r-q)S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\|g^+\|_\infty\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{r}, \tag{26}$$

where $\tilde{C}_1 = (p-q)\,\min\{b, M(k^{p-1})\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}((r-p)b - a(p^2-r)k^{p-1})\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} &\le [a(r-p^2)\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p^2-p} + (r-p)]\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} \\ &\le \lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{q},\ \text{if } \|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} \le k^{p-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Note that $b(r-p) - a(p^2-r)k^{p-1} > 0$ since $k^{p-1} < \frac{b(r-p)}{pa} < \frac{b(r-p)}{a(p^2-r)}$, and so with (27),

$$(b(r-p) - a(p^2-r)k^{p-1})\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} \le \lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty\, S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{q}\ \text{if}\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} \le k^{p-1}. \tag{28}$$

Moreover, by (25),

$$\begin{aligned}M(k^{p-1})(r-p)\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} &= \lambda(r-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\ &\le \lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty\, S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{q},\ \text{if } \|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} > k^{p-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

It follows that, by (28) and (29),

$$\tilde{C}_2\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p} \le \lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty S_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{q}, \tag{30}$$

where $\tilde{C}_2 = \min\{M(k^{p-1})\,(r-p), [b(r-p) - a(p^2-p)k^{p-1}]\}$. Hence, by (26) and (30),

$$\left(\frac{S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\tilde{C}_1}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-p}} \le \|u\|_{W^{1,p}} \le \left(\frac{\lambda(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty}{S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}\tilde{C}_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}},$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$. Thus, if the submanifold $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ is nonempty, then the inequality

$$\lambda \geq \tilde{C}_2\,\tilde{C}_3 \text{ where } \tilde{C}_3 = (\frac{S_r^{\frac{r}{p}}\tilde{C}_1}{(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{p-q}{r-p}}\frac{S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}}{(r-q)\|f^+\|_\infty},$$

must be hold. Subsequently, we have the following result.

Lemma 6.2. If $0 < \lambda < \tilde{C}_2\,\tilde{C}_3$,then the submanifold $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} = \emptyset$.

By Lemma (6.2), we write $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} = \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} \cup \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$. Using a similar argument to that of Lemma 4.3, it can be deduced that $\mathcal{N}^{\pm}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} \neq \emptyset$. Define

$$\alpha^+_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u);\ \ \alpha^-_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u),$$

then we have the following result.

Theorem 6.3. We have

(i) $\alpha^+_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} < 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{C}_2\tilde{C}_3)$;

(ii) if $0 < \lambda < \tilde{C}_3\tilde{C}_4$, then $\alpha^-_{\lambda,k^{p-1}} > c_0$ for some $c_0 > 0$, where $\tilde{C}_4 = \frac{q(rb-pM(k^{p-1}))}{p}$.

In particular, for each $0 < \lambda < \tilde{C}_3\ \min\{\tilde{C}_2, \tilde{C}_4\}$, we have

$$\alpha^+_{\lambda,k^{p-1}} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u)$$

.

Proof. (i) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$. We divide the proof into the following three cases.
Case (A): $\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \leq k^{p-1}$. By (23),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < (b(r-p) - a(p^2-r)k^{p-1})\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} &\leq \left[a\,(r-p^2)\,\|u\|^{p^2-p}_{W^{1,p}} + b(r-p)\right]\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \\ &< \lambda\,(r-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Since $b\,(r-p)\,-a\,(p^2-r)\,k^{p-1}>0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\hat{M}_{k^{p-1}}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{1}{r}\,M_{k^{p-1}}\,(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&= \frac{a(r-p^2)}{rp^2}\|u\|^{p^2}_{W^{1,p}} + \frac{b(r-p)}{rp}\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}\lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&< \frac{\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}}{rpq}[\frac{a(p^2-q)(p^2-r)}{p}\|u\|^{p^2-p}_{W^{1,p}} - b(r-p)(p-q)] \\
&\leq -\frac{k^{p-1}}{prq}\,[b(p-q)(r-p) - \frac{a(p^2-q)(p^2-r)}{p}k^{p-1}] \\
&< -\frac{k^{p-1}}{prq}\,[b(r-p) - a(p^2-r)\,k^{p-1}] < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case (B): $\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} > k^{p-1}$. By (25),

$$M(k^{p-1})\,(r-p)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < \lambda\,(r-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{M}_{k^{p-1}}(t) &= \int_0^t M_{k^{p-1}}(s)\,ds = \int_0^{k^{p-1}} M_{k^{p-1}}(s)\,ds + \int_{k^{p-1}}^t M_{k^{p-1}}(s)\,ds \\
&= \int_0^{k^{p-1}} M(s)\,ds + \int_{k^{p-1}}^t M(s)\,ds \\
&= \hat{M}(k^{p-1}) + M(k^{p-1})(t-k^{p-1})\,\text{for}t > k^{p-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u) &= \frac{1}{p}\,\hat{M}_{k^{p-1}}(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{1}{r}\,M_{k^{p-1}}\,(\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}})\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&= \frac{1}{p}\,(M(\hat{k^{p-1}}) - M\,(k^{p-1})\,k^{p-1}) + \frac{M\,(k^{p-1})\,(r-p)}{rp}\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \\
&- \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&< \frac{1}{p}\,(\frac{1}{p}\,a\,k^{p(p-1)} + b\,k^{p-1} - a\,k^{2(p-1)} - b\,k^{p-1}) + \frac{\lambda(r-q)}{rp}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&- \lambda(\frac{r-q}{rq})\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{p^2}\,a\,k^{p-1}\,(p\,k^{p-1} - k^{(p-1)^2}) - \frac{\lambda\,(p-q)(r-q)}{rpq}\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx < 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\alpha^+_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} < 0$.
(ii) Let $u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda M(k^{p-1})}$. By (22),(24) and the Sobolev inequality,

$$\min\{b, M(k^{p-1})\}\,(p-q)\,\|u\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < (r-q)\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx \le\ (r-q)\,\|g^+\|_\infty\, S_r^{\frac{-r}{p}}\,\|u\|^r_{W^{1,p}},$$

this implies

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p}} > S_r^{\frac{r}{p(r-p)}}\Big(\frac{\min\{b, M(k^{p-1})\}\,(p-q)}{\|g^+\|_\infty(r-q)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{(r-p)}} \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda M_{k^{p-1}}}. \tag{32}$$

By (20) from the proof of Lemma 6.3,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u) &\ge \|u\|^q_{W^{1,p}}\Big[\frac{br\ -p\,M(k^{p-1})}{pr}\,\|u\|^{p-q}_{W^{1,p}}\ -\frac{\lambda\,(r-q)}{rqS_q^{\frac{q}{p}}}\,\|f^+\|_\infty\Big]\\
&> S_r^{\frac{rq}{p(r-p)}}\Big(\frac{\min\{b, M(k^{p-1})\}\,(p-q)}{\|g^+\|_\infty(r-q)}\Big)^{\frac{q}{(r-p)}}\\
&\Big(\frac{(br\ -p\,M(k^{p-1}))S_r^{\frac{r(p-q)}{p(r-p)}}}{pr}\,(\frac{\min\{b, M(k^{p-1})\}\,(p-q)}{\|g^+\|_\infty(r-q)})^{\frac{p-q}{(r-p)}}\ -\frac{\lambda\,(r-q)}{rqS_q^{\frac{q}{p}}}\,\|f^+\|_\infty\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\lambda < \tilde{C}_3\,\tilde{C}_4$, then $\alpha^-_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} > c_0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Now, we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.3.(i) By Lemma 3.2 (ii) and the Ekeland variational principle [13], there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}$ on $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda M}(u_n) = \beta_\lambda\ +\ o(1) \text{ and} \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda M}(u_n) =\ o(1) \text{ in } W^{-1,p'},$$

where $\beta_\lambda = \inf_{u\in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)}\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u)$. Clearly, $\beta_\lambda < 0$. Then by Lemma 3.1, there exist a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and $u_{a,\lambda} \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ is a nonzero solution of Eq. (1) such that $u_n \to u_0$ strongly in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u_{a,\lambda}) = \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(|u_{a,\lambda}|)$, by Lemma 2.1 we may assume that $u_{a,\lambda}$ is a positive solution of Eq. (1).
(ii) Let $\theta > 0$ and take $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}_0 = \min\{\theta, \tilde{C}_3\,\min\{\tilde{C}_2, \tilde{C}_4\}\}$. Then by Lemma 6.1 and the Ekeland variational principle [13], there exist two minimizing sequences $\{u^\pm_n\}$ for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ on $\mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u^\pm_n) = \alpha^\pm_{\lambda,k^{p-1}}\ +\ o(1) \text{ and } \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u^\pm_n) =\ o(1) \text{ in } W^{-1,p'}.$$

Using a similar argument to that in Lemma 3.1, there exist subsequences $\{u^\pm_n\}$ and $u^\pm_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} \in W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ are nonzero solutions of Eq. (20) such that $u^\pm_n \rightharpoonup u^\pm_\lambda$ strongly in $W^{1,p}_0(\Omega)$ and so $u^\pm_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} \in \mathcal{N}^\pm_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u^\pm_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}) = \alpha^\pm_{\lambda\,k^{p-1}}$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda\,M_{k^{p-1}}}, (u_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}{}^\pm}) =$

$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(|u_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}{}^{\pm}}|)$ and $|u^{\pm}_{\lambda M_{k^{p-1}}}| \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$, by Lemma 2.1, it can be deduced that $u^{\pm}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ are positive solutions of Eq. (20). Moreover, $N^{+}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} \cap \mathcal{N}^{-}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} = \emptyset$, this implies that $u^{+}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ and $u^{-}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}$ are two distinct solutions. Now, we claim that $\|u^{\pm}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}\|^{p}_{W^{1,p}} \leq k^{p-1}$; if this is not the case, then by (11) and $k \in (\frac{b(r-p)}{ra}, \frac{b(r-p)}{pa})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{b(r-p)}{ar\,L(\theta)} &= \frac{b(r-p)}{ra(\theta\, C^{q}_{*}\,\|f^{+}\|_{\infty} + C^{r}_{*}\,\|g^{+}\|_{\infty})\,|\Omega|} \\
&< \frac{k^{p-1}}{(\lambda\, C^{q}_{*}\,\|f^{+}\|_{\infty} + C^{r}_{*}\,\|g^{+}\|_{\infty})\,|\Omega|} \\
&< \max\{(\frac{b(2r-p)}{r})^{\frac{(p-r+q)}{(r-p)}}, (\frac{br}{p})^{\frac{(p-r+q)}{(r-p)}}, (\frac{br}{p})^{\frac{p}{(r-p)}}\} = A_0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $a > \frac{b(r-p)}{r\,A_0\,L(\theta)}$ a contradiction. Thus, $u^{\pm}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}} = u^{\pm}_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^{\pm}_{\lambda,M}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M}(u^{\pm}_{\lambda M_{k^{p-1}}}) = \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}(u^{\pm}_{\lambda,M_{k^{p-1}}}) = \alpha^{\pm}_{\lambda,(k^{p-1})}$. Moreover,$u^{+}_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^{-}_{\lambda,M}$ are positive solutions of Eq. (1).

7 Proof of Theorem 2.4

First, we consider a modified version of Eq. (1) as follows,

$$\begin{cases} -M_{\hat{k}}\Big(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p}dx\Big)\Delta_{p}u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + g(x)|u|^{r-2}u, & \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

where $\hat{k} = \frac{b(r-p)}{a(2p-r)}$ and

$$M_{\hat{k}}(s) = \begin{cases} a\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}} s^{\frac{q-p}{p}} + b, & if s \leq \hat{k}, \\ M(s) & if s > k, \end{cases}$$

is a modified function of $M(s) = as^{p-1} + b$. The positive solutions of the modified Eq. (33) are critical points of the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}(u) = \frac{1}{p}\,\hat{M}_{\hat{k}}(\|u\|^{p}_{W^{1,p}}) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_{\Omega} f|u|^{q}\,dx - \frac{1}{r}\int_{\Omega} g|u|^{r}\,dx,$$

where $\hat{M}_{\hat{k}}(s) = \int_0^s M_{\hat{k}}(t)\,dt$. Note that by (4), if $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ with $\|u\|^{p}_{W^{1,p}} \leq \hat{k}$, it can be deduced that

$$\begin{aligned}
I''_{u,M_{\hat{k}}}(1) &= -a(r-q)\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}}\,\|u\|^{q}_{W^{1,p}} - b(r-p)\,\|u\|^{p}_{W^{1,p}} + \lambda\,(r-q)\int_{\Omega} f|u|^{q}\,dx \\
&\leq -a(r-q)\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}}\,\|u\|^{q}_{W^{1,p}} - b(r-p)\,\|u\|^{p}_{W^{1,p}} + \lambda\,(r-q)\,S_{q}^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|f^{+}\|_{\infty}\,\|u\|^{q}_{W^{1,p}} \\
&= (r-q)(\lambda\,S_{q}^{\frac{-q}{p}}\,\|f^{+}\|_{\infty} - a\,\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}})\|u\|^{q}_{W^{1,p}} - b(r-p)\,\|u\|^{p}_{W^{1,p}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$< 0, \text{ if } \lambda \leq a\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}} \|f^+\|_\infty^{-1} S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}, \tag{34}$$

and for $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ with $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p \geq \hat{k}$,

$$I''_{u,M_{\hat{k}}}(1) = [a(p^2-r)\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p^2-r} - b(r-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx]$$

$$\geq \lambda(r-q)\int_\Omega f|u|^q\,dx > 0. \tag{35}$$

it follows that, by (33), $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} = \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} = \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \leq a\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}}\|f^+\|_\infty^{-1}S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}$ and $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ with $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p \leq \hat{k}$; while by (34),$\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} = \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} = \emptyset$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ with $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p \geq \hat{k}$. consequently, if $0 < \lambda \leq a\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}}\|f^+\|_\infty^{-1}S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}$, the following results are obtained.

Lemma 7.1.

(i) $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} = \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} \cup \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ $(i.e. \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} = \emptyset)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} \cap \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p = \hat{k}\} = \emptyset$;

(iii) $N^-_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} \subset \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p < \hat{k}\}$;

(iv) $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} \subset \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p > \hat{k}\}$.

It is well known that the minimum problem

$$\mathbb{S} = \inf_{u\in M} K(u) > 0 \tag{36}$$

can be achieved at positive function $u_0 \in M$ such that $K(u_0) = \mathbb{S}$ (see [19]), where $K(u) = \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p - \frac{1}{r}\int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx$ and

$$M = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\backslash\{0\} : \|u\|_{W^{1,p}}^p = \int_\Omega g|u|^r\,dx\}.$$

Let $v_0 = \frac{\hat{k}^{\frac{1}{p}}u_0}{\|u_0\|_{W^{1,p}}}$. Then $\|v_0\|_{W^{1,p}}^p = \hat{k}$ and

$$\int_\Omega g|v_0|^r\,dx = \hat{k}^{\frac{r}{p}}\|u_0\|_{W^{1,p}}^{p-r} = \hat{k}^{\frac{r}{p}}\left(\frac{r-p}{pr\mathbb{S}}\right)^{\frac{(r-p)}{p}} > \frac{pb^2(r-p)}{a(2p-r)^2},$$

provided that $a < A_* = \frac{p^{\frac{r}{(p-r)}}(r-p)^p}{r\mathbb{S}}\left(\frac{2p-r}{b}\right)^{\frac{(p^2-r)}{(r-p)}}$.

Lemma 7.2. For each $a < A_*$ there exists $0 < \tilde{\lambda}_* \leq a\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}} \|f^+\|_\infty^{-1} S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}$ such that for $\lambda < \lambda_*$ there exists $\bar{t_\lambda} > 1$ such that $\bar{t_\lambda} v_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda, M_{\hat{k}}}$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{m}(\lambda, t) &= a\, t^{2p-r} \|v_0\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} + b t^{p-r} \|v_0\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - t^{q-r}\, \lambda \int_\Omega f|v_0|^q\, dx \\ &= a\, t^{2p-r}\, \hat{k}^2 + b\, t^{p-r}\, \hat{k} - t^{q-r}\, \lambda \int_\Omega f|v_0|^q\, dx \text{ for} t > 0.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\bar{m}(\lambda, t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to 0^+$ and $\bar{m}(\lambda, t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Since

$$\bar{m}'(0, t) = b(r-p)\, \hat{k}\, t^{p-r-1}\, (t^p - 1),$$

$\bar{m}'(0, t) = 0$ at $t = 1$,$\bar{m}', (0, t) < 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\bar{m}'(0, t) > 0$ for $t \in (1, \infty)$. Then $\bar{m}(0, t)$ achieves its minimum at 1, decreasing for $t \in (0, 1)$ and increasing for $t \in (1, \infty)$. Thus,

$$\min_{t>0} \bar{m}(0, t) = \bar{m}(0, 1) = \frac{pb^2\, (r-p)}{a(2p-r)^2} < \int_\Omega g|v_0|^r\, dx,$$

it follows that there exists $\bar{t_0} > 1$ such that

$$\bar{m_0}(0, \bar{t_0}) = \int_\Omega g|v_0|^r\, dx \text{ and} \bar{m}_0'(0, \bar{t}_0) > 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem, there exists a positive number

$$\tilde{\lambda}_* < a\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}} \|f^+\|_\infty^{-1} S_q^{\frac{q}{p}},$$

such that for every $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}_*$ there exists $\bar{t_\lambda} > 1$ such that

$$\bar{m}'(\lambda, \bar{t_\lambda}) = 0, \quad \bar{m}(\lambda, \bar{t_\lambda}) = \int_\Omega g|v_0|^r\, dx.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{J}'_\lambda(\bar{t_\lambda} v_0), \bar{t_\lambda} v_0 \rangle &= a\bar{t}_\lambda^{2p} \|v_0\|^{2p}_{W^{1,p}} + b\, \bar{t}_\lambda^p \|v_0\|^p_{W^{1,p}} - \bar{t}_\lambda^q\, \lambda \int_\Omega f|v_0|^q\, dx - \bar{t}_\lambda^r \int_\Omega g|v_0|^r\, dx \\ &= \bar{t}_\lambda^r [\bar{m}(\lambda, \bar{t}_\lambda) - \int_\Omega g|v_0|^r\, dx] = 0 \ (i.e. \bar{t}_\lambda v_0 \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, M_{\hat{k}}}),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\bar{t_\lambda} v_0\|^p_{W^{1,p}} = \bar{t}_\lambda^p\, \hat{k} > \hat{k}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 7.1 (iV), $\bar{t}_\lambda\, v_0 \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$. $\square$

Theorem 7.3. For each $a < A_*$ there exists $0 < \tilde{\lambda}_* \leq a\hat{k}^{\frac{p^2-q}{p}} \|f^+\|_\infty^{-1} S_q^{\frac{q}{p}}$ such that for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_*$,Eq. (2) has a positive solution $\hat{u}_\lambda$ with $\|\hat{u}_\lambda\|^p_{W^{1,p}} > \hat{k}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 (ii) and Lemma 7.1 (iV), we have the energy functional $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,\hat{k}}$ which is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$. Then the minimum problem $\alpha^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}} = \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}(u)$ is well defined. Moreover, by (6), Lemma 7.1 (iV) and $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ is a nonempty natural constraint. Thus, by the Ekeland variational principle [13], there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ for $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ on $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}(u_n) = \alpha^+_{\lambda,\hat{k}} + o(1) \text{ and} \mathcal{J}'_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}(u_n) = o(1) \text{ in} W^{-1,p'}.$$

Using a similar argument to that in Lemma 3.1, there exists subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and $\hat{u_\lambda} \in {W_0}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a nonzero solution of Eq. (32) such that $u_n \to \hat{u}_\lambda$ strongly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, with $\|\hat{u}_\lambda\|^p_{W^{1,p}} \geq \hat{k}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,\hat{k}}(\hat{u}_\lambda) = \alpha^+_{\lambda,\hat{k}}$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}(\hat{u}_\lambda) = \mathcal{J}_{\lambda,M_{\hat{k}}}(|\hat{u}_\lambda|)$, by Lemma 2.1, we may assume that u_0 is a positive solution of Eq. (34). By lemma 7.1(i) $\|\hat{u}_\lambda\|^p_{W^{1,p}} > \hat{k}$. Thus, $\hat{u}_\lambda$ is a positive solution of Eq. (2). $\square$

Now, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.4. For each $\theta > 0$ and $0 < a < \{\frac{b(r-p)}{rA_0L(\theta)}, A_*\}$, there exists a positive number $\tilde{\lambda}_* \leq \min\{\theta, \hat{\Lambda}\}$ such that for $0 < \lambda < \tilde{\lambda}_*$, Eq. (2) has three positive solutions $u^{(1),+}_{\lambda,M}$,$u^{(p),+}_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^-_{\lambda,M}$ such that $u^{(i),+}_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,M}$ and $u^-_{\lambda,M} \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,M}$. Moreover, by $k \in (\frac{b(r-p)}{ra}, \frac{b(r-p)}{pa})$, (30)-(31) and Theorem 2.3 and 7.3, we can conclude that

$$\|u^{(1),+}_{\lambda,M}\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < (\frac{p\lambda(r-q)\|f\|_\infty s_q^{\frac{-q}{p}}}{b(r-p)^p})^{\frac{p}{(p-q)}},$$

$$S_r^{\frac{r}{p(r-p)}} (\frac{pb(r-1)(p-q)}{r(r-q)\|g^+\|_\infty})^{\frac{1}{r-p}} < \|u^-_{\lambda,M}\|^p_{W^{1,p}} < \frac{b(r-p)}{pa} < \|u^{(p),+}_{\lambda,M}\|^p_{W^{1,p}},$$

also this complete the proof of Theorem 2.4.

References

[1] G.A. Afrouzi, S.H. Rasouli, A variational approach to a quasilinear elliptic problem involving the p-Laplacian and nonlinear boundary condition, Nonl. Anal, 71 (2009)

2447-2455.

[2] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, T. F. Ma, Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type, Comput. Math. Appl, 49 (2005), no. 1, 85-93.

[3] A. Arosio, S. Pannizi, On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string,Trans. Amer. Math.Soc, 348 (1996) 305-330.

[4] P.A. Binding, P. Drabek, Y.X. Huang, On Neumann boundary value problems for some quasilinear elliptic equations, Electron. J. Differential Equations 5 (1997) 111.

[5] K.J. Brown, T.F. Wu, A fibering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem, Electron. J. Differential Equations 69 (2007) 19.

[6] K.J. Brown, T.F. Wu, A fibering map approach to a potential operator equation and its applications, Differential Integral Equations 22 (2009) 10971114.

[7] K.J. Brown, Y. Zhang, The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function, J. Differential Equations 193 (2003) 481499.

[8] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Cavacanti, J. A. Soriano, Global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear dissipation, Adv. Differential Equations, 6 (2001), 701-730.

[9] C.Y. Chen, Y.C. Kuo, T.F. Wu, The Nehari manifold for a Kirchhof type problem involving sign-changing weight functions, J. Diff. Eqs, 250 (2011) 1876-1908.

[10] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, G. M. Figueiredo, On a elliptic equation of p-Kirchhoff type via variational methods, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc, 74, 2 (2006), 263-277.

[11] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, R. G. Nascimento, On a nonlocal elliptic system of p-Kirchhoff type under Neumann boundary condition, Mathematical and Computer Modelling (2008), doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2008.03.013.

[12] P. Drabek, S.I. Pohozaev, Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 127 (1997) 703726.

[13] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 17 (1974) 324353.

[14] G. Kirchhoff, Mechanik, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.

[15] J.L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, International Symposium on Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations, Rio de Janeiro, 1977, Mathematics Studies, vol. 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1978), 284-346.

[16] T. F. Ma, Remarks on an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type, Nolinear Anal, 63, 5-7 (2005), 1967-1977.

[17] K. Perera, Z. Zhang, Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via the Yang index, J. Differential Equations, 221 (2006), 246-255.

[18] S.H. Rasouli, G.A. Afrouzi, The Nehari manifold for a class of concave-convex elliptic systems involving the p-Laplacian and nonlinear boundary condition, Nonl. Anal, 73 (2010) 3390-3401

[19] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Birkhuser, Boston, 1996.

[20] T.F. Wu, On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 253270.

[21] T.F. Wu, Multiplicity results for a semilinear elliptic equation involving sign-changing weight function, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 39 (2009) 9951012.

[22] Z. Zhang, K. Perera, Sign changing solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via invariant sets of descent flow, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 317 (2006) 456463.